

Wonesis

Paradigm Manifesto: The Cartography of Collapse



The Map

1 A paradigm is not an opinion. It is not a theory, a doctrine, or a fashion of the mind. A paradigm is the map by which a people locate themselves in the world: where they came from, who they are, what they owe to one another, and what future they are permitted to imagine. Whoever draws the map owns the people who walk by it.

2 No people ever look at their paradigm. They look through it, as the eye looks through glass. That is why the paradigm is the most powerful weapon ever forged, and the last one anybody notices being aimed.

3 And every map dies. Not through carelessness, but because the territory keeps moving while the map goes on painting what used to be there. The deadliest hour in the life of any people is not the hour the map fails. It is the hour after, when the dead map is still trusted out of habit, out of fear, out of the sheer exhaustion of having nothing else to hold.

4 This is the story of that hour. This is what happens when every map humanity has ever trusted stops working at once.

The Age After Everything

5 History is full of endings. Empires rise and rot. Religions swell and shrivel. Economic orders reign for a season and give way to their successors. It is tempting to call the present just another turn of the wheel, business as usual for a species that has already buried a hundred civilisations. Do not believe it. What is dying now is not one empire, one faith, or one civilisation. It is the exhaustion of the frameworks through which human beings have understood existence itself.

6 For thousands of years, peoples lived inside coherent worlds of meaning. Cosmologies explained origins. Religions bound the living to the sacred. Philosophies interrogated truth. Traditions passed memory down the generations like a torch from hand to hand. Nations gave identity; civilisations gave institutions; and, most recently, science gave prediction and control. Their conclusions differed, but their assumption did not: that existence could be understood, and that human life had a place within it.

7 Look at what remains. The old maps still circulate, but as ceremony. Religions still shape the lives of billions, yet command no universal confidence. Science expands faster than any single mind can follow, yet has answered nothing about purpose, mortality, or meaning, and increasingly refuses even to ask. Nations remain politically potent while the societies beneath them shatter into competing realities that no longer share a common set of facts. Universities pour out oceans of information and cannot say what any of it is for.

8 Here is the paradox that should terrify us. Humanity has never possessed so much knowledge, and never been so lost. We know more and understand less. We are more connected and more alone. We hold unprecedented power, and we no longer know its purpose.

9 The old maps have not vanished. They simply no longer match the territory.

10 When maps fail, individuals lose their bearings. When enough individuals lose their bearings at once, entire societies begin to wander: anxiety rising, trust collapsing, extremism swelling, communities fragmenting, the living earth neglected, and everywhere the persistent feeling that something essential is missing, even when life looks stable on paper.

11 Every era has known visible catastrophes: wars, market collapses, famines, poisoned rivers. These are the crises that historians can date, name, and photograph. But there are rarer moments, and this may be the rarest of them all, when the crisis erupts inside the very instrument, we use to perceive reality: the interior cosmos itself. It cannot be photographed. It is a crisis not only of where we are heading, but of where we are standing. And a map, after all, is a thing a person pulls out in the middle of the highway for one reason only: because they are lost.

The Two Worlds

12 Two worlds are dying, and they are dying together. They are not separate nations, and their peoples are not cleanly divided. They overlap and interweave, inside the same society, inside the same skull. But they must be named, because each one is collapsing in its own way.

13 The first is the Civilisational World: institutions, industries, bureaucracies, markets, states, corporations, infrastructures, and ever more sophisticated systems for managing information. Its triumphs are undeniable: longer lives, connected continents, transformed production, and a material abundance no earlier age could have imagined. And its triumph is its terror. For the first time, humanity holds technologies powerful enough to remake not merely the external world, but the human condition itself. Artificial intelligence, biotechnology, automation, surveillance infrastructure, algorithmic governance, genetic engineering: these are no longer speculation. They exist, they operate, they shape daily life.

14 There was a time when a machine was only an extension of the human hand. It carried us faster, produced more, and threw our voices across greater distances. Humans set the goals; machines served. That time is dead. Technological systems no longer merely assist decisions; they determine the circumstances in which decisions are made. Algorithms decide what people see, believe, remember, and desire. Artificial systems now manufacture knowledge, images, language, and meaning. Digital environments mediate our bonds, our politics, our livelihoods, and the very architecture of the self.

15 The machine is no longer outside us. It has become the atmosphere through which we experience reality, and it now takes part in building the categories by which human beings understand themselves. This is the Civilisational World's nightmare: it holds immense power, and it grows steadily less certain about the being that power was supposedly built to serve. It fears losing control over what it has built.

16 The second is the Cosmobian World, and it is built on relationship, not system. It rises from the bond between people and land, people and ancestors, people and memory, people and place. It is rooted in sacred geography, ecological knowledge, kinship, and oral tradition, in forms of existence where identity cannot be separated from relationship with the human and the non-human alike. The Cosmobian World does not ask how reality can be controlled. It asks how reality can be inhabited and reciprocated. It seeks participation, not mastery.

17 And across the earth it is being butchered. Colonisation, industrial expansion, ecological destruction, urbanisation, displacement, language loss, cultural fragmentation, and the commodification of land have gnawed away the very structures through which whole communities once carried continuity across generations. Its question is not about machines. Its question is land: not land as property, not land as territory, not land as an asset on a ledger, but land as memory, identity, archive, teacher, ancestor, and living foundation. The question is not merely who owns the land. It is what responsibility a people owes to the living world that made their existence possible. The Cosmobian World fears losing its connection to what it has inherited.

18 Two fears. Two questions. The Civilisational World asks: what shall we do with our machines? The Cosmobian World asks: what shall we do with our land? And beneath both lies a third question that neither world can dodge any longer: what will we do with ourselves? What kind of beings are we becoming, and what kind of beings do we wish to become?

The Erosion

19 Human beings do not live in physical environments alone. They live in cosmological ones. Every society carries stories of origin, relationship, obligation, destiny, life, death, and the underlying structure of reality, and these stories are never beliefs held at arm's length. They are load-bearing architecture. They are what a people think with, not merely what they think about.

20 Tear down that architecture and the damage reach far beyond what anyone calls culture. What is lost is not only language, ritual, or tradition, but the deeper capacity through which a people orient themselves within the cosmos. A people can survive military defeat. They can survive hunger and political domination; history is crowded with such survivors. What is far harder to survive is the destruction of the very frameworks through which a people know who they are, where they came from, and why they exist at all.

21 Name it plainly, so that it can never hide again. Psycho-Cosmocide is the systematic destruction of the psychological, cosmological, ecological, and memory structures through which a people maintain continuity within existence. The external world may stand intact while the internal coordinates quietly vanish. The territory remains. The map is gone. And the people, lost inside their own home, are taught to blame themselves.

22 This is why what is done to Indigenous and land-based Cosmobian peoples cannot be called poverty, inequality, underdevelopment, or a matter of cultural preservation, as though a way of life were an endangered artefact to be boxed and shelved. What is at stake is the survival of entire cosmological worlds, and their death is not only their loss. It is a loss for all of humanity. Every language offers a perspective on reality that no other language can replicate. Every cosmology holds an insight into existence that no other cosmology fully contains. Every memory system preserves generations of accumulated experience. Every sacred geography carries knowledge that cannot be reduced to a database, an archive, or a server. When these worlds die, humanity does not lose data. It loses possibilities: other ways of imagining the future, other ways of relating to land, community, mortality, and meaning, and other answers to the very questions the Civilisational World is increasingly unable to answer alone.

23 Watch what a dying paradigm does to the mind. When the world stops making sense, modern humans are conditioned to question themselves before they ever question the map. This reflex, doubting the self before the instrument, is the unmistakable signature of a dying paradigm. It is cosmological anomie: a condition in which the inherited maps of meaning — religion, philosophy, and science — no longer correspond to lived experience, and yet are still trusted, consciously or not, because no other way of navigating has ever been taught.

24 The colonised frontier worlds suffer this most acutely and most fatally. West Papua, chief among them, suffers it more than the colonisers ever will, because it has been handed a deliberately engineered map, planned with the utmost sophistication, to lead it toward its own annihilation.

The Descent

25 History is told as a straight line. That is the first lie. It is a cycle: alignment, fracture, inversion, and, if a people are fortunate and deliberate, renewal. Four phases, nine stages, each one building on the last and diverging from it.

26 The origin. Wone is the original, undivided field of existence: not a concept to be studied from a distance, but a reality to be lived from within. It required no written law because it was the law: the breath moving between the trees, the memory carried inside the rivers, coherence itself before coherence needed a name.

27 Wonesis is the state of living in full alignment with Wone: no split between self and world, no “I” standing apart from “Thou”. A human being was never a visitor to Wone. A human being was Wone’s localised expression, its embodiment walking upright. Nature was Wone’s visible body, cathedral and university at once, giving sustenance and symbol together. Nature was not a resource. It was home, sacred by definition rather than by declaration.

28 The First Rebellion. That state did not last. At some point in history, humanity rose against Wone and declared itself creator in Wone’s place. The Tower of Babel is one civilisation’s memory of this rupture, the moment the ground first tilted beneath our feet. Civilisation is the structure built to organise life after the break. It brought a new stability — temporal, administrative, and engineered — and it paid for that stability by replacing participation with hierarchical control. What had been sacred became a system to be managed. Living knowledge was replaced by external measurement, delivered through symbols, images, colours, written signs, and language.

29 The Distortion. Civi-lie-sation is the age of structured forgetting, in which language stops revealing reality and begins to replace it outright. Memory is no longer lived. It is stored, filed, and administered by those in power.

30 The Inversion. Evilisation is the age of perfect illusion, in which lies no longer need to hide behind the mask of truth, because they are simply called progress. Destructive acts come to feel positive. Artificial arrangements come to feel natural. The forgery has become so complete that it no longer bothers to disguise itself as anything but reality.

31 The Collapse. Psychocosmologisation is the shaping of the inner world: the process by which a system plants ready-made ideas directly inside a mind, through sign, symbol, and relentless repetition. This is the exact point at which the inner psyche is colonised and made “psycho”.

32 And then Psycho-Cosmocide: the point at which the system erases a people’s capacity to perceive, to remember, to find true meaning, or to hold any sense of direction. And here is the horror beyond horror: the people begin to defend the very

system that is erasing them. Local elites, and their trusting followers, become hosts for an invasive parasite. Their deepest neurological pathways are rewired until they take an active part in their own extinction. This is where the people of West Papua stand today.

The Law of Meaning

33 Every human system of meaning, whether language, myth, story, symbol, image, or colour, obeys a predictable arc. Every work of meaning ever made falls into one of six stages.

34 First, the Paradigm: the overarching vision, the symbolic code, born out of crisis. But not just any crisis can give birth to the next paradigm. Only a crisis large enough to break the previous one can do so.

35 Second, the Descriptive: the new vision is recorded, through language, symbols, images, colours, and signs, to describe the world the paradigm has just made visible. Just as God and Nature were once used to render the whole of existence intelligible, science now occupies the same structural throne.

36 Third, the Analytical: the flowering of scientific, philosophical, social, cultural, economic, and existential literature, examining how the described world holds together, or fails to.

37 Fourth, the Practical: the paradigm is institutionalised into law, system, and social structure, the moment when ideas become buildings, courts, and curricula.

38 Fifth, Decay. Meaning breaks apart. Systems lose their integrity and their coherence. This is precisely where humanity stands now: awash with information, starved of meaning and direction.

39 Sixth, the New Paradigm: the reconstruction that becomes necessary when the old system can no longer sustain life. And this, too, is precisely where humanity stands now.

The Refusal

40 We are drifting, and the drift has a name: terminal decay. The old paradigm is dead or dying. It is distorted, corrupted, or simply irrelevant to the territory it once described. The old analysis has curdled into distortion. The old practices have hollowed into ritual. Under these conditions a new model of the world is not a luxury. It is a requirement, because the old compass is broken.

41 A paradigm is a compass. It is a map. It shows a people where they stand, where they came from, and where they must go. But every compass eventually loses its bearing, and every map grows old. Every framework that once gave guidance will, in time, be diluted, distorted, or forgotten, not through carelessness, but because the territory beneath it has changed while the map keeps depicting what used to be there.

42 Then let it be said without mercy: the most dangerous thing a people can do is continue to trust the dead map. The most necessary thing, the only honest thing, is to draw a new one. Pretending otherwise only prolongs the drift.

The Reconstruction

43 This moment demands more than policy reform, more than another wave of technological innovation. It demands the reconstruction of perception and consciousness itself.

44 The return to Wonesis is not a retreat into an imagined past — that road has already been closed, and for good reason — but the birth of a new paradigm, grounded in authentic connection. Connection to what? Land. With land comes food. With land come water, air, shelter, and security, the foundation on which every form of meaning has ever been built. It is the only foundation the parasite never manufactured.

45 This diagnosis is offered without blame. It is a framework for understanding where humanity stands amidst its own confusion: a species that turned from nature to civilisation, and now faces Psycho-Cosmocide head-on; a species

whose faith passed from spirit to organised religion and its priests, from religion to secularism, from secularism to the machine, and, increasingly, to chaos itself.

46 The work of this moment is to recognise, plainly and without flinching, that the map is broken. It takes courage to question a reality that has been drilled into you, to ask whether your own mind has been programmed. That courage is the beginning of reconstruction.

47 The Flood is here. There is no more waiting for it. The task now is to act, and to claim the land as our ark.

The Question

48 The question is no longer: what is reality? The question is this: who constructed the reality you are living in, and do you know the difference?

49 When the old maps no longer work, it is time to draw a new map for a new territory.

Views, words, and ideas expressed in this manifesto are personal, reflective, and diagnostic rather than declarations of settled truth — a reading of the human condition through the Psycho-Cosmocide lens, offered to provoke thought and questioning rather than to close it.

© 2026 Yamin Kogoya. All rights reserved. Part of the Psycho-Cosmocide Corpus. No part of this text may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form without prior written permission, except for brief quotations used in reviews, criticism, or scholarly commentary with proper attribution.